Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01057A000400010028-5

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

STATOTHR



JUN 25 1954

Dear Harry:

STATOTHR

Thank you very much for your letter of June 14, forwarding a STATOTHR copy of

The article has proved to be on some interest to

Our specialists and we are making arrangements to forward to you STATOTHR

the sum of \$100 as reimbureement for his substantial STATOTHR

efforts in preparing this article on the Chinese Communist economy,

with the understanding, however, that the article not be published.

STATOTHR

Table II, are based on a formula said to have been originated by Kac Kang. In his report to the first meeting of party delegates of Manchuria, 13 March 1950, Kac's statement is taken to indicate a basis used by the Chinese Communists in establishing their so-called previous peaks of production of various industries, which are less than the actual peaks and therefore give to Chinese Communist indices based on those peaks an upward bias. The phrase from Kao Kang's report on which the formula was said to be based was translated in a footnote in an ECAFE bulletin published in Bungkok in 1953 as follows:

with regard to the rehabilitation work carried out by us, public industrial enterprises succeeded in restoring their production in 1949 to around 29 percent of the level reached in 1943 by the Japanese and the 'puppet' regime (not taking into account, however, badly damaged plants the restoration of which was very difficult or even impossible).

A copy of the page from Kao Keng's report from which the footnote in the ECAFE article was translated is enclosed. Since various translators render the passage differently, our specialists suggest

DOCUMENT NO	16)	
NO CHANGE IN CLASS.			
DECLASSIFIED GLASS, GHANGED TO:	TS S	6	
NEXT REVIEW DATE:			
AUTHI HR 10-2	. 1	E Sept.	i i i i i

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01057A000100288501EWER 01886

Approved For Release 2000/08/29: CIA-RDP79S01057A000400010028-5

STATOTHR that you ask to consider the passage in its context and give his remaition of it, considering it also in the light of the Pauley Report, which services quotes as a reference. The Pauley Report indicates that the productive facilities of whole industries - not merely "plants" - were removed by the Russians as reparations in 1965. The point is that other authorities have considered that Kao used the term which has been mistakenly translated as "plants" to mean only those industries which were totally incapacitated by the Bussian removals and that these industries were therefore excluded from Kao's general index of 29 percent for Mancharian industrial production in 1949; but, however, that has did not exclude from his indices of peak production of individual industries the past production of any perticular plants as marts of those whole industries which were still in existence at that time.

STATOTHR

STATOTHR

Our specialists indicated that they had high regard for anhalarship in his past publications. I should appreciate it if you would, with your usual discretion, ascertain his further views on the above question and pass them on to me.

As you can see, the matter of interpreting Chinese Communist indices involves more than the plecing together of bits of information from their published reports. Just between you and me, I suggest that you not use the research findings as the basis of an article for The Times.

It was good to hear from you. I appreciate your keeping me in mind when such matters as this come to your attention.

Sincerely yours.

MOREMT ANALY, JR.

RR/A/F

STATINTL

23 June 1954

Distribution 0&2:DD/I

1:AD/RR

1:CH/E

1:D/A

1:file

1000 - 20.